UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/520,013	08/05/2005	Stephen Temple	27754/24867	9262	
** **	7590 01/14/201 GERSTEIN & BORUN		EXAMINER		
233 SOUTH WACKER DRIVE 6300 SEARS TOWER			NGUYEN, LAM S		
CHICAGO, IL 60606-6357			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2853		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/14/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/520,013	TEMPLE, STEPI	HEN
Examiner initiated interview duminary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	LAM S. NGUYEN	2853	
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>71</u>		
(1) <u>LAM S. NGUYEN</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>JEREMY KRIEGEL</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 12 January 2010	Time:		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed: 25, 31, 33			
Prior art documents discussed: NAGOSHI ET AL. (US 6106093)			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:	
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa 	he examiner will provide a written record of the substance of the	en summary of the interview, since	ne substance
/LAM S NGUYEN/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2853	(Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if a	appropriate)



Application No. 10/520,013

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner introduced the above reference to Applicant's representative and also explained why the reference reads on the claims, except claims 40 and 44. The Examiner thus suggested the Applicant to rewrite claims 40 and 44 into independent form and cancel other claims to put the case into allowable condition. The Applicant agreed to do so.